Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) is a rare respiratory syndrome characterised by the accumulation of surfactant lipoproteins within the alveoli. According to various pathogenetic mechanisms and aetiologies, PAP is classified as primary, secondary or congenital. Primary PAP is led by a granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) signalling disruption; the autoimmune form is driven by the presence of anti-GM-CSF autoantibodies and represents 90% of all the PAP cases; and the hereditary form is the result of mutations in genes encoding GM-CSF receptor. Secondary PAP is associated with various diseases causing a reduction in function and/or number of alveolar macrophages. Congenital PAP emerges as a consequence of corrupted surfactant production, due to mutations in surfactant proteins or lipid transporter, or mutations affecting lung development. The clinical manifestations are various, ranging from insidious onset to acute or progressive respiratory failure, including premature death within the first days of life in neonates with congenital surfactant production disorders. The diagnostic workup includes clinical and radiological assessment (respiratory function test, high-resolution chest computed tomography), laboratory tests (anti-GM-CSF autoantibodies dosage, GM-CSF serum level and GM-CSF signalling test), and genetic tests. Whole-lung lavage is the current gold standard of care of PAP; however, the therapeutic approach depends on the pathogenic form and disease severity, including GM-CSF augmentation strategies in autoimmune PAP and other promising new treatments.

Educational aims

- To update knowledge about a rare respiratory syndrome, pulmonary alveolar proteinosis, in order to promote early diagnosis and correct management.
- To highlight recent treatment options based on pathogenesis and disease severity.
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Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: a respiratory syndrome rather than a single disease

Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) is a rare respiratory syndrome characterised by the accumulation of surfactant lipoproteins within the alveoli leading to a variable impairment of pulmonary gas transfer and causing a broad spectrum of clinical manifestation, from exercise intolerance to hypoxaemic respiratory failure and death [1]. PAP was firstly described in 1958 and belongs is an alveolar filling disorder [2]. Basically, PAP is caused by an impairment of surfactant clearance or abnormal surfactant production, according to various pathogenetic mechanisms and different aetiologies.

At present, PAP is classified in accordance with the underlying pathogenetic mechanism as primary, secondary or congenital (table 1) [3].

Primary PAP

In primary PAP, an altered macrophage and neutrophil activation, induced by a dysfunction of granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) signalling, leads to impaired surfactant clearance and to consequent accumulation of surfactant. Primary PAP can be autoimmune or hereditary: the autoimmune form represents the 90% of all PAP cases, and the discovery of GM-CSF autoantibodies changed our clinical and experimental approach to this rare respiratory disease [4]; the hereditary form is the result of mutations involving the GM-CSF receptor (in the CSF2RA or CSF2RB genes that code for the α- and β-chains, respectively).

Secondary PAP

Secondary PAP results from various underlying conditions that can affect the number and/or the function of the alveolar macrophages. It is predominantly a consequence of haematological disorders but has also been reported in association with pharmacological immunosuppression, malignancies, chronic inflammatory conditions or environmental exposure to toxic substances [5].

Congenital PAP

Congenital PAP is determined by mutations in genes encoding surfactant proteins or proteins involved in surfactant production; as a result, the surfactant is dysfunctional and cannot fulfil its physiological roles. Rarely, the aetiology of PAP is indefinable [6].

PAP: rare and rarest forms

Despite the advances in understanding its pathophysiology, the prevalence of PAP remains poorly described. The overall prevalence has been measured to be nearly seven cases per million individuals in the general population of Japan and the USA [7, 8], where the largest population studies have been conducted. In the retrospective US epidemiological study published in 2018, McCarthy et al. [8] described an annual prevalence of PAP of 6.87±0.33 per million, slightly higher than the prevalence estimated in 2008 among adults in Japan (6.2 per million). Notwithstanding, PAP prevalence could be underestimated, firstly because of its insidious onset with mild, nonspecific respiratory symptoms for long periods before coming to medical attention, and second, the rarity of the disease and the corresponding lack of knowledge leads to frequent misdiagnosis.

Regardless of sex, PAP prevalence increases with age in a bimodal distribution with a first peak between 45 and 54 years and a second one in patients >75 years [8]. Overall, primary PAP represents 90% of all PAP cases and a predominant prevalence of autoimmune PAP is uniformly reported in all major epidemiological studies [7, 8]. Furthermore, in the Japanese national registry, the median age at diagnosis was 51 years and two-thirds of the patients were men (patients <10 years of age were rare) [7]. In addition, according to the concurrent US study of an independent cohort of 700 PAP patients, 3% had hereditary PAP related to mutations of GM-CSF receptor [8]. Secondary PAP represents 10% of all PAP forms and is most frequently associated with haematological disorders (in particular, myelodysplastic syndromes) and the median age at diagnosis is higher than in other aetiologies[5]. Congenital PAP is the rarest and can occur in neonates, infants and children, but also in adolescents and adults [7, 8].

Table 1 Classification of PAP

| Primary PAP: Autoimmune PAP (GM-CSF autoantibodies) | Hereditary PAP (mutations in genes encoding GM-CSF receptor) |
| Secondary PAP: Haematological disorders | Malignancies |
| reduction in Chronic deficiency syndromes | Chronic inflammatory syndromes |
| function and/ Toxic inhalation syndromes | Other |
| or number of alveolar macrophages | |
| Congenital PAP: Impaired surfactant production | Mutations in surfactant proteins (SFTPA, SFTPB, SFTP) |
| | Mutations in lipid transporter (ABCA3) |
| | Mutations affecting lung development (TTF1) |

The importance of pulmonary surfactant in all PAP forms: composition, function and homeostasis

In 1994, the discovery that knock-out mice for GM-CSF developed PAP as well as the identification in 1999 of GM-CSF autoantibodies in patients affected by autoimmune PAP (previously named idiopathic PAP) triggered intense research worldwide and completely changed the clinical-therapeutic approach to this syndrome [9, 10].

More precisely, PAP is caused by an impairment of surfactant homeostasis or abnormal surfactant production. Surfactant is an amphipathic lipid-protein complex, and is normally synthesised and secreted into the alveolar space by type II alveolar epithelial cells to form a membrane-like layer [3]. Surfactant plays a pivotal role in the maintenance of lung volumes during the respiratory cycle by reducing surface tension at the air-liquid interface, thereby preventing end-expiratory alveolar collapse and atelectasis; moreover, it stabilises alveolar size, reduces elastic recoil of the lung and contributes to host defence against microbiological pathogens [11]. Alveolar surfactant is 80% composed of phospholipids (mostly phosphatidylcholine); and 10% neutral lipids, predominantly free cholesterol with traces of triglycerides and free fatty acids. The protein portion accounts for the remaining 10%: four principals surfactant proteins have been identified (surfactant protein (SP)-A, SP-B, SP-C and SP-D), which are expressed at relatively high levels in type II cells and a small fraction of serum proteins. The production, processing, composition and removal of surfactant is finely controlled, and surfactant is finally catabolised, removed and recycled, mainly by the alveolar macrophages [12]. GM-CSF is a cytokine produced by type II alveolar epithelial cells that functions as a haematopoietic growth factor. Through binding to its specific receptors on macrophages, GM-CSF induces the phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)5, and subsequently, the activation of multiple signalling pathways leading to the terminal differentiation of alveolar macrophages in the human lung (figure 1) [13].

Figure 1 PAP pathogenesis. In normal surfactant homeostasis (bottom right), surfactant is synthesised by type II alveolar epithelial cells and secreted into the alveolar space to generate a thin layer. GM-CSF is a cytokine produced by type II alveolar epithelial cells that binds specific receptors on macrophages; GM-CSF induces the terminal differentiation of alveolar macrophages, allowing an effective surfactant catabolism. In primary PAP (bottom left), altered macrophage activation, due to a dysfunction in GM-CSF signalling, leads to impaired surfactant clearance and to the consequent accumulation of surfactant. In autoimmune PAP, GM-CSF signalling is inhibited by the presence of neutralising GM-CSF autoantibodies; the hereditary form is the result of mutations involving the GM-CSF receptor. In secondary PAP (top left), various underlying conditions can affect the number and/or the function of the alveolar macrophages, causing reduced surfactant clearance and surfactant accumulation within the alveoli. In congenital PAP (top right), mutations in SFTPβ, SFTPc, ABCA3 and TTF1 interfere with the production of surfactant which, in turn, is ineffective and prone to accumulation.
Primary PAP

Autoimmune PAP

Autoimmune PAP is mediated by autoantibodies targeting GM-CSF. This specific pathogenetic mechanism is supported by several lines of evidence. When PAP patient-derived neutralising autoantibodies against GM-CSF were injected into nonhuman primates, the latter developed the cardinal features of PAP [14]. Autoantibodies against GM-CSF are detectable in autoimmune PAP patients at a level ≥5 µg·mL⁻¹, while in hereditary, secondary or congenital PAP patients, in patients with other lung disease and in healthy subjects, the GM-CSF autoantibody level is under this threshold [15]. In fact, low levels of GM-CSF autoantibodies are ubiquitously present in people without autoimmune PAP [16]. Hence, the “critical threshold” value of GM-CSF autoantibody concentration ≥5 µg·mL⁻¹ is able to promote GM-CSF sequestration and degradation, disrupting GM-CSF-stimulated functions in alveolar macrophages and blood leukocytes [16]. However, GM-CSF autoantibody levels, as currently measured, do not correlate with disease severity [17].

The reduction in surfactant-degrading capability of alveolar macrophages, as a consequence of GM-CSF biological activity inhibition, leads to the accumulation of surfactant and ensuing respiratory insufficiency. Furthermore, GM-CSF neutralisation results in impaired antimicrobial activity of neutrophils with increased risk of infections [17, 18].

The aetiology of the autoimmune response has been evaluated by characterising GM-CSF autoantibodies. These are composed of polyclonal immunoglobulin G targeting multiple epitopes of GM-CSF molecules with high binding affinity, and the capability to neutralise GM-CSF at high concentrations and to effectively block GM-CSF signalling in vivo. The demonstration of GM-CSF autoantibody polyclonality precludes that a single clone of autoantibodies is responsible for the pathogenesis in each patient. Immune targeting of multiple different GM-CSF epitopes indicates that autoantibody formation is steered by GM-CSF and not by a pathogen-related, cross-reacting epitope [19].

Hereditary PAP

Hereditary PAP is caused by the disruption of GM-CSF signalling because of homozygous or compound heterozygous mutations in the CSF2RA and CSF2RB genes, which lead to reduced protein expression on the cell surface [20, 21]. The heterogeneity of disease severity across family members with identical mutations suggests that other factors may be involved in addition to GM-CSF signalling. Hereditary PAP is clinically, physiologically and histologically indistinguishable from autoimmune PAP [22].

Secondary PAP

A wide heterogeneity of diseases can be associated with secondary PAP [1]. The mechanisms predisposing secondary PAP can be identified as reduced numbers or function of alveolar macrophages, resulting in an impaired capacity to clear surfactant from the lung [5]. Systemic disorders accompanying secondary PAP include malignant and nonmalignant haematological diseases, nonhaematological malignancies, immune deficiency syndromes, chronic inflammatory syndromes, and chronic infections. Among the haematological disorders, chronic myeloid leukaemia and myelodysplastic syndromes are predominantly associated with PAP [3]. Furthermore, immune deficiency syndromes can be associated with secondary PAP, like thymic alymphoplasia (deficiency of lymphocytes in the thymus), immunoglobulin A deficiency, immunosuppression as result of solid organ transplantation and AIDS [3].

Congenital PAP

Congenital PAP occurs as a respiratory disease that can emerge in neonates and children but also in adults, is characterised by different levels of surfactant accumulation, and is always associated with pulmonary fibrosis [23]. Mutations in SFTPB (SP-B), SFTPC (SP-C), ABCA3 and TTF1 have been associated with congenital PAP, as they lead to the disruption of the production and the function of surfactant. SP-B and SP-C are hydrophobic peptides located at the alveolar air–liquid interface. Homozygous mutations for recessive loss-of-function mutations in SFTPB were found in infants who developed respiratory failure and died shortly after birth. Individuals heterozygous for recessive loss-of-function SFTPB alleles, instead, have normal lung function. Autosomal dominant mutations in SFTPC are linked with interstitial lung disease at all ages.

ABCA3 is a member of the ABCA transporter family known to be involved in transmembrane transport of endogenous lipids; it is expressed in the type II alveolar cells and is mostly detected at the limiting membrane of the lamellar bodies, where the surfactant complex is assembled, processed and stored [6, 23]. Fatal surfactant deficiency and premature death also occur in infants homozygous for recessive loss-of-function mutations in ABCA3. While some other ABCA3 mutations result in dysfunctional ABCA3 and, consequently, in dysfunctional surfactant deficient in phosphatidylcholine, causing chronic respiratory disease in older children and adults.

The transcription factor TTF1 is essential for lung development. Haploinsufficiency of TTF1 causes a complex phenotype in neonates that can include hypothyroidism, brain abnormalities and acute and chronic lung disease [24].
When to suspect PAP: clinical, radiological and functional aspects

Signs and symptoms
Autoimmune PAP usually occurs in adults in the third to fifth decade of life with an insidious onset (one third of patients with autoimmune PAP are asymptomatic), and is characterised mainly by exertional dyspnoea, eventually accompanied by nonspecific respiratory symptoms, like cough and/or production of white frothy sputum, or systemic symptoms such as fatigue and/or weight loss (table 2). This unclear presentation heads to long periods before evaluation or initial diagnosis [3, 7]. Hereditary PAP presents similarly to autoimmune PAP, except that the age of onset is typically, but not always, in late infancy or childhood [22]. Secondary PAP arises as cough, dyspnoea and gas exchange impairment during other ongoing disease or after environmental exposure [5]. Patients with congenital PAP present variably, depending on the specific gene mutation, with onsets ranging from premature death within the first days of life to adult debut of symptoms [6, 23, 24]. Aside from neonatal and paediatric onset in congenital PAP, physical examination is generally unremarkable; however, cracks and cyanosis have been reported in a small proportion of patients. Digital clubbing is rarely a manifestation of PAP, while fever and haemoptysis are uncommon and generally associated with superimposed infections. Nevertheless, fever can be a manifestation of PAP itself. Considering these confounding signs and symptoms, patients are usually misdiagnosed with pneumonia, on the basis of radiological findings, or asthma (especially in children), on the basis of nonspecific symptoms until the failure to respond to “appropriate” therapy. In such cases, an accurate diagnosis is delayed, on average, by 18 months [3].

Radiological findings
Chest radiography commonly demonstrates diffuse bilateral symmetrical infiltrates in a perihilar distribution, which can progress to confluent infiltrates involving all the five lobes [25]. High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of the chest is mandatory if a suspicion of PAP exists, to identify the peculiar radiological pattern that is characterised by interlobular septal thickening associated with patchy ground glass, known as “crazy paving”, referring to the polygonal appearance of the secondary pulmonary lobules within interspersed ground-glass attenuation (figure 2a). Areas of consolidation with air bronchograms can be present in addition to the ground-glass opacification [26]. However, the crazy paving pattern is not specific for PAP and can be found in a variety of other lung diseases such as infections, malignancies, pulmonary oedema, alveolar haemorrhage, organising pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, Pneumocystis pneumonia and lipoid pneumonia [26]. Fibrosis on HRCT at baseline or at follow-up predicts a poor prognosis. Pleural effusion, enlargement of mediastinal lymph nodes and evidence of air trapping are not characteristic, and should lead to alternate or concomitant diagnosis. Pulmonary nodules are also atypical and should be assessed for malignancy or infection [27].

Lung function testing
Lung volumes are generally within normal limits in the early stages of the disease, a reduction of forced vital capacity and total lung capacity, consistent with restrictive ventilatory pattern, can be found in patients with severe disease [7]. However, the diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide ($D_{LCO}$) is frequently reduced and this impairment correlates with disease severity [1]. Furthermore, the alveolar–arterial oxygen gradient is often increased, indicating alveolar defective gas exchange and being a better criteria than $D_{LCO}$ to determine disease activity [1, 2]. Oxygen desaturation on exercise and severe hypoxaemia at rest reflect major disease involvement, and are useful indicators for the need of treatment [1, 2].

From clinical suspicion to PAP diagnosis, step by step
A clinical picture of slowly progressive nonspecific respiratory symptoms in association with typical chest HRCT findings should suggest a diagnosis of PAP (figure 3). Most routine laboratory tests are usually within normal range, except lactate dehydrogenases levels, which can frequently be high [1]. Several circulating biomarkers have been identified to correlate variably with disease severity, such as serum tumour antigens (carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 19.9, CYFRA 21-1 and neuron-specific enolase), lung epithelium-derived proteins (mucin, KL-6, SP-A, SP-B and SP-D), chemokines and cytokines (CCL-2), and chitinase 3-like protein 1 (also known as YKL40) [28]. However, none of these

### Table 2 PAP clinical manifestations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No symptoms</th>
<th>31.4%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exertional dyspnoea</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea and cough</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea and sputum</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea, cough and sputum</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) Interlobular septal thickening within interspersed ground glass (“crazy paving” pattern) is spread symmetrically to all five lobes with a perihilar predominance. b) After whole-lung lavage treatment, the crazy paving pattern is still appreciable but with reduced distribution and lower alveolar infiltration.

Endoscopic confirmation

Flexible bronchoscopy with BAL is the first step to obtaining a definite diagnosis of PAP syndrome; BAL fluid appears opaque and milky, and usually shows large amounts of sediment. The microscopic examination demonstrates acellular globules, which are basophilic after May–Grünwald–Giemsa and periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) staining, as well as foamy alveolar macrophages positive to oil-red-O staining and a substantial amount of cell debris that stains only weakly with PAS staining [1, 29]. In addition, bronchoscopy is useful to isolate certain microorganisms that can mimic PAP or to identify intercurrent infection. Neither transbronchial nor surgical lung biopsy is indicated on account of the substantial false-negative rate [30].

Classification

After identifying PAP syndrome, it is necessary to proceed with other diagnostic tools in order to determine the specific PAP form in observation. An accurate medical, occupational and environmental exposure history has to be collected in order to identify any cause of secondary PAP.

GM-CSF autoantibody test

Considering that autoimmune PAP is the most frequent cause of PAP syndrome, serum GM-CSF autoantibody titration should be the first diagnostic test [7, 15, 30]. The identification of high levels of GM-CSF autoantibodies in serum is diagnostic for autoimmune PAP; autoantibody levels to define autoimmune PAP should be higher than the critical threshold of 5 µg·mL$^{-1}$ [4, 15]. Low levels of GM-CSF autoantibodies can be detectable (usually <1 µg·mL$^{-1}$) in serum from healthy people and patients with malignancies, inflammatory conditions and secondary PAP [16]. This diagnostic test shows a sensitivity and specificity of 100% for autoimmune PAP [15], but is practicable in few laboratories worldwide, in the USA, Japan, Germany and China, with affiliated clinical centres in other countries (e.g. Italy and the Netherlands). Current information about the availability of diagnostic
Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) testing for PAP is available from the PAP Foundation and EuPAPNet, the European network for PAP.

In the absence of GM-CSF autoantibodies, or the presence of low levels of GM-CSF autoantibodies in patients with a confirmed PAP syndrome without any clear cause of secondary PAP, should prompt an GM-CSF concentration test and GM-CSF signalling test in an effort to orientate specific gene analysis.

Serum GM-CSF concentration testing should be considered for patients with PAP syndrome with normal levels of GM-CSF autoantibodies and if secondary PAP has been discounted. Serum GM-CSF concentration is elevated (>10 pg·mL\(^{-1}\)) in patients with hereditary PAP caused by \(CSF2RA\) or \(CSF2RB\) mutations (owing to reduced clearance by dysfunctional receptors); conversely, it is typically undetectable or below the lower limit of quantification (7 pg·mL\(^{-1}\)) in healthy individuals [31]. This test must be considered a screening test because GM-CSF can be increased during infections in patients without hereditary PAP. GM-CSF signalling can be evaluated by quantifying the level of intracellular phosphorylated STAT5 or cell-surface CD11b in neutrophils in response to GM-CSF [32]. Positive results require subsequent gene analysis, looking for \(CSF2RA\) or \(CSF2RB\) mutations.

Genetic testing for causes of congenital and secondary PAP

These tests should involve screening for mutations in genes required for surfactant production (\(SFTPA\), \(SFTPB\), \(SFTPC\), \(ABCA3\) or \(TTF1\)) [23, 33]. Mutations in \(SFTPB\) and \(ABCA3\) drive a significant disruption of surfactant production and packing, usually resulting in respiratory failure in term newborn infants. Mutations in \(SFTPC\) and \(SFTPA\) usually emerge clinically later in infancy or in adulthood.
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Treatment options range from the watchful waiting to the pathogenic form and disease severity. The therapeutic approach to PAP is strictly related different therapies

Different PAP forms, different therapies

The therapeutic approach to PAP is strictly related to the pathogenic form and disease severity. Treatment options range from the watchful waiting for patients with asymptomatic autoimmune PAP to whole-lung lavage (WLL) therapy, the current gold standard of care.

Whole-lung lavage

WLL is an invasive procedure that can only be performed properly in specialised centres. It is the current standard of treatment for patients affected by primary PAP and in some causes of secondary PAP (but not in congenital PAP) [3]. However, WLL is not a standardised procedure and international consensus documents are lacking. In 2016, a clinical practice survey, based on a questionnaire, was globally conducted and included 27 centres performing WLL in paediatric and/or adult PAP patients [37]. WLL is an invasive procedure almost universally performed under general anaesthesia, in an intensive care unit, by using a double-lumen endobronchial intubation. During the procedure, one lung undergoes the lavage, while the other is selectively ventilated (figure 4). The interval between the first lavage and the second session varies among centres, with an interval of 1–2 weeks in ~50% of centres. Homogenous across centres is the use of saline warmed to 37°C, the drainage of lung lavage fluid by gravity and the indications for WLL therapy. Specific indications include a decline in arterial oxygen tension (PaO2) at rest, worsening of lung disease severity on radiological evaluation, and decline in diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO), forced vital capacity or resting oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry, or an increase in respiratory symptoms. The choice of the first lung to be lavaged differs between centres: 50% of centres base the choice on imaging, whereas 50% always start with the left lung due to its smaller size. Patient position during the procedure is widely discordant as well, as in 50% of centres the patient lies in the supine position whereas other positions are the full lateral position at 90° or a moderate lateral position at 30–45° inclination. The amount of fluid used to perform the WLL is debated: a general consensus exists on the single aliquot of fluid for lavage (~800 mL warm saline in adults) but the total amount of saline infused per lung ranges from 5 to 40 L, with an average of 15.4 L per lung. In order to improve the therapeutic effect, most (14 out of 20) centres use chest percussion to emulsify the PAP sediment but a general consensus does not exist either for the method (manual or mechanical) or for the timing. Other issues still under discussion include contraindications, methods and timing of follow-up, timing of extubation, lung isolation, and lavage methods for small children. Despite the different procedural options, the international survey on WLL found this practice to be safe and effective as therapy for PAP (figure 2b), with a low rate of procedure-related morbidity (~18%, including, in order of decreasing frequency, fever, spillage of saline into the ventilated lung, worsened hypoxaemia, superinfections and pneumothorax) [37].
Autoimmune PAP therapeutic strategies

GM-CSF supplementation

The first approach to GM-CSF augmentation as a therapy for autoimmune PAP was in the late 1990s, by subcutaneous administration of recombinant GM-CSF; consequently, improvements were reported in ~50% of case studies, with a variable response among patients [3, 38]. Moreover, the administration of aerosolised recombinant GM-CSF in autoimmune PAP demonstrated better results (76.5% versus 48.4%) if compared with the subcutaneous route [39]. During inhaled GM-CSF therapy, the local deposition of the drug within the alveoli permits a direct activity against neutralising autoantibodies. Furthermore, inhaled GM-CSF was not associated to the bone marrow effects reported with the subcutaneous administration. A recent meta-analysis [40] of the studies of inhaled and subcutaneous GM-CSF therapy in autoimmune PAP patients showed that the pooled response rate of GM-CSF therapy (81%) was not inferior to WLL therapy in terms of pulmonary function tests, disease severity score and 6-min walking test. Moreover, they showed the superiority of inhaled versus subcutaneous GM-CSF therapy in term of disease-free relapse (89% versus 71%, p = 0.023), PaO2 increase (21.02 versus 8.28 mmHg, p < 0.001) and alveolar–arterial oxygen difference improvement (19.63 versus 9.15 mmHg, p < 0.001). Although inhaled GM-CSF might be a promising therapeutic option for PAP, the data supporting GM-CSF supplementation as first-line treatment are not conclusive, but we believe that the ongoing multicentre phase II/III trial can offer the possibility of a large-scale treatment of these patients [39].

Figure 4 WLL schematic procedure. WLL is an invasive procedure almost universally performed under general anaesthesia, in an intensive care unit. In this example, the patient lies in the full lateral position; selective ventilation is assured by using a double-lumen endobronchial intubation. The lung above undergoes the lavage, while the other is selectively ventilated; an aliquot of warm saline is infused by gravity into the nonventilated lung and the collection tube is clamped. Subsequently, the aliquot is drained from the lavaged lung and received by gravity by the fluid collector. These passages are repeated until the collected fluid turns clear or until achievement of the programmed total infusion volume for each lung. Chest percussion can be associated during the procedure in order to emulsify the surfactant sediment.
Therapy targeting GM-CSF autoantibodies

The discovery of GM-CSF autoantibodies as the causative agents for autoimmune PAP led to the use of therapeutic approaches affecting their production and/or serum levels. Plasmapheresis to remove the autoantibodies and B-lymphocyte depletion using rituximab (an anti-B-cell monoclonal antibody) have been attempted [41, 42]; however, further studies are required to demonstrate their potential utility.

Hereditary PAP therapeutic strategies

WLL is rarely used as a treatment for hereditary PAP due to the difficulty of performing the procedure in paediatric patients [43]. Gene therapy-based approaches have been proposed but preclinical toxicity studies are mandatory before testing gene transfer in hereditary PAP patients. Transplantation of haematopoietic stem cells has been attempted in order to restore GM-CSF-dependent signalling in mice, but despite the positive results gained, this procedure required aggressive immunosuppression and myeloablation, which predisposed the patient to develop either respiratory infections, graft versus host disease or drug toxicity. Alternatively, the transplantation of genetically corrected pulmonary macrophages seems to be a promising method to generate abundant functional macrophages that does not require an immunosuppressive strategy. Moreover, the use of advanced methods of genome engineering for targeted editing could guarantee an efficient and safe gene correction, which could be applied to stem cell-based gene therapy [43].

Novel approach: targeting lipids homeostasis

It is known that lipids, mainly cholesterol, are the major determinants of surfactant fluidity, which is critical for surface tension reduction. Moreover, cholesterol was identified to be the most abundant material accumulating within the alveolar macrophages in PAP patients, leading to an altered cholesterol/phospholipid ratio. In addition, a recent study has identified cholesterol as a possible target for a new therapeutic approach in PAP [44]. Two autoimmune PAP cases were reported to significantly improve after statin therapy. Statin treatment was able to reduce cholesterol content by 40% in autoimmune PAP foamy alveolar macrophages when compared with paired control cells. Furthermore, Csf2rb−/− mice treated in vivo with statin therapy, by oral administration for 6 weeks, demonstrated diminished BAL fluid turbidity, and decreased cholesterol concentration in BAL fluid and alveolar macrophages; more precisely, statin therapy seems to ameliorate the cholesterol efflux from Csf2rb−/− macrophages ex vivo and the lung disease in Csf2rb−/− mice in vivo [44].

Conclusions

Although our knowledge about PAP pathogenesis has greatly increased after the discovery of the role of GM-CSF, several questions still influence decisions on patient management. Early diagnosis and subsequent appropriate management could result in a marked clinical improvement for the affected patient. More precisely, in this review, we propose an algorithm that could drive clinicians towards the identification of the correct PAP form. In addition, we suggest PAP patient referral to national centres, where standard therapy and new therapeutic strategies are available. For the time being, interventional clinical trials in pulmonary alveolar proteinosis are currently ongoing, showing the feasibility of aerosolised recombinant GM-CSF for the treatment of autoimmune PAP. Finally, recent findings on lipids homeostasis within PAP alveolar macrophages are leading to new promising approaches.
Key points

- Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) is a rare respiratory syndrome characterised by the accumulation of surfactant lipoproteins within the alveoli, caused by an impairment of surfactant clearance or abnormal surfactant production, leading to variable impairment of the respiratory function.
- PAP is classified, according to various pathogenetic mechanisms, as primary, secondary or congenital; primary autoimmune form represents 90% of all PAP cases.
- High-resolution computed tomography of the chest shows a particular radiological pattern that is characterised by interlobular septal thickening associated with patchy ground glass, known as “crazy paving”.
- Whole-lung lavage is the current gold standard of care of PAP; however, the therapeutic approach is strictly related to the pathogenic form and disease severity, including granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor augmentation strategies in autoimmune PAP and other promising new treatments.
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